G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1924-5 (Courts.)

File No. 33-24

Serial Nos. 384

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for March 25

SUBJECT

Amendment of the inspection form of the Civil and Subordinate Courts

The Registrar Chief Court

No. P 5/58 dt 18.3.25

Sanctions the proposal.

Noted 6/4/25

G. R. S. 11) 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(es)

NOTES.

File No. 33-24

FILE HEADING.

Amendment of the inspection form of the Civil and Subordinate Courts prescribed in Govt. No. 478-86/LA&J 52-4) 24-9-1917

From

The Regr. High Court

C No. 937 Dated 23-1-25 Received 26-1-25

Diary No. 3254	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the present question no 128 on the Inspection rule ~~does~~ is not a correct test of efficiency of the process establishment and requests sanction to an amendment of the question which if answered ~~gives~~ is a better test of efficiency of the service processes in a court

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd for orders with a draft.

28.1.25 16. Question 131 in ~~section~~ the Schy Questions for the Subordinate Civil Courts requires the number of processes satisfactorily served. The Regr Chief Court suggests

NOTES—First Sheet.

The progress of the Chief Court Conference has not been a satisfactory test and suggests that the personal service of process other than warrant is a good test therefore the question is sought to be amended in the manner proposed. This amendment may perhaps be approved.

6294/2/2

(L Leeg)
1st member

29/1
SA
31-1
25
31-1

479/20.2

Please see my personal remark on the draft

23/2
SA 23.2
25
24.2

Result.

Flag A (the enclosure to Memo 2-A) is the proposed amendment

24/2/25 MS 25/2

The proposed amendment is H.C.L. which is the enclosure to the P.H.D.

(L Leeg)
1st member

25
25/2
SA 25/2

34/2-3

Draft approved

(I see that the draft is not signed by Either the Secretary or Asst Secy)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet No.

2/3
SA 3
26/2/3.

Serial No. 4

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

P.S. 158 dated 18/3/25.

Sanctions the proposal of the Registrar re: ammending the set of questions for Subordinate Civil Courts substituting "What is the percentage of personal Service of Processes, other than warrants issued for the last six months."

3257 55

Ch 33 - 24 - 3

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 23rd January 1925.

C. No. 937/24-25 Misc: ms.



A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, Esq., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Depts.,
B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

I am directed to invite reference to G. O. No.478-86/E.A.G. 52-4 dated the 24th September 1917, sanctioning inspection rules -- for the civil and criminal Courts in the State, and to question 131 which runs as follows :--

"What is the percentage of satisfactory service for the last six months?

(2) Of this what is the proportion of personal Service?"

I am to state that in practice the percentage of satisfactory service is not very accurately calculated and the tests - - - applied for declaring the service satisfactory are not uniform in all the courts being less rigid in some than in others. The Chief Court, I am to observe, considers that it would be sufficient if the percentage of personal service is arrived at as such percentage is a more accurate test of the efficiency of a process establishment than any others. A draft Corrigendum showing how the question - - stands at present and what it will be after the necessary alteration is effected is enclosed.

I

I am therefore to request that Government may be pleased to issue an order amending the one dated 14th September 1917 as amended by G. O. No.P.2085-95 Cts./33-24-2 dated 21st October 1924.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Thirumalaipandian

REGISTRAR. 24/11/25

TKV.

CORRIGENDUM.

In question 131 (now re-numbered as question 128) of the set of questions for subordinate civil courts, for the words "What is the percentage of satisfactory service for the last six - - months" and "Of this what is the proportion of personal service?"

SUBSTITUTE :-

"128. What is the percentage of personal service of processes, other than warrants - -- issued, for the last six months?"

REGISTRAR.

TKV.

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

1 Copies

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 18/8/25

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii ~~published in the Gazette,~~ ~~English Part ?~~

~~iv translated ?~~

~~v placed at the disposal of the press ?~~

~~vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?~~

Section

File No. 33-24

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no C 937/24-25 dt 23-1-1925 from the Registrar Chief Court stating that the amendments to question ~~but made~~ 131 of the inspection rules for the subordinate Civil and Criminal Courts in the State prescribed by Govt 478-86/ GAG 52-4 dt 24-9-1917 re the service of process on a Court is not uniformly calculated in all the Courts and that it will be sufficient if the percentage of personal service is obtained ~~arrived~~ at, as such percentage is more accurate test of the Court's activity Order Thereon—No. ga process establishment than any other and requesting sanction to the accompanying amendment also to the said question

order G 5758

Sanctioned

L. Hsey

To Registrar Chief Court inform

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 5158—Cts. 33-24-4, dated 18th March 1925.

Inspection Rules.

Amends question 131 (renumbered as 128) of the —— for the Inspection of Subordinate Civil Courts.

READ—

Letter No. C. 937—24-25, dated the 23rd January 1925, from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the answer to question 131 of the Inspection Rules for the Civil and Criminal Courts in the State prescribed by Government Order No. 478-86—E. A. G. 52-4, dated 24th September 1917, *re* the service of processes in a Court is not uniformly arrived at in all the Courts and that it will be sufficient if the percentage of personal service is obtained as such percentage is a more accurate test of the efficiency of a process establishment than any others and requesting sanction to the accompanying amendment to the said question.

ORDER No. P. 5158—CTS. 33-24-4, DATED 18TH MARCH 1925.

Sanctioned.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

CORRIGENDUM.

In question 131 (now renumbered as question 128) of the set of questions for Subordinate Civil Courts, for the words "What is the percentage of satisfactory service for the last six months" and "Of this what is the proportion of personal service?"

Substitute.—"128. What is the percentage of personal service of processes, other than warrants issued, for the last six months?"

W D 1996—GPB—382—28-3-25